# Hume-Nash Machines Context-Aware Models of Learning and Recognition

Rocco Tripodi, Marcello Pelillo {rocco.tripodi,pelillo}@unive.it

ECLT - European Centre for Living Technology - Ca' Foscari University of Venice

With the spectacular growth and the ubiquity of network data, classical (feature based) approaches to machine learning and pattern recognition are no longer viable

More sophisticated "context-aware" approaches, which exploit local environmental information, are needed in order for next-generation computers to cope with the increasing complexity of real-world applications.

In particular, this is of the utmost importance in real-world scenarios involving several agents interacting in a complex environment using multiple cues and

modalities

## <u>Methodo</u>logy

We propose Hume-Nash machines, a context-aware classification model based

- the use of similarity principles which go back to the work of British philosopher David Hume ("assign similar labels to similar objects") game-theoretic equilibrium analysis introduced by Nobel laureate John Nash
- ("no incentive to unilaterally deviate from equilibrium")

The intuition is to view learning problems as non-cooperative games, whereby the competition between the hypotheses of class membership is driven by contextual and similarity information encoded as payoff functions [1].

## According to this perspective, the focus will shift from optima of objective

functions to equilibria of (non-cooperative) games. The learning algorithms is based on evolutionary game dynamics [2], a large, reaction of the second second

## Word Sense Disambiguation and Entity Linking [3]

Word Sense Disambiguation is the task of identifying the intended meaning of a word based on the context in which it appears. It has been studied since the beginnings of Natural Language Processing and today it is still a central topic of this discipline. This because it is important for many NLP tasks such as **text understanding**, **text** entailment, machine translation, opinion mining, sentiment analysis and information extraction. All these applications can benefit from the disambiguation of ambiguous words, as a preliminary process; otherwise they remain on the surface of the word, compromising the coherence of the data to be analyzed. We modeled

- The words to be disambiguated as the **players** of the games The senses of the words as the **strategies** that the players can choose
- The interactions among the players as a word similarity graph The payoff function as a sense similarity function

 $\checkmark$  We used the **replicator dynamics equation** to compute the Nash equilibria of the games. In this way, it is possible to maintain the textual coherence associating each word to the most appropriate sense

according to the senses that other words in the text are choosing. The system was validate against unsupervised, semi-supervised and supervised algorithms. We used four datasets for the WSD task and on each of them we obtained higher or comparable results compared to state-of-the-art systems. For the Entity Linking task we validated our system on two datasets and also on this task we obtained higher or comparable results.



## Entity Linking

## Document Clustering Games in Dynamic Scenarios [5]

Document clustering is a particular kind of clustering that involves textual data. The objects to be clustered can have different characteristics, varying in length and content. Popular applications of document clustering aims at organizing tweets, news, novels and medical documents. It is a fundamental task in text mining, with different applications that span from document organization to language modeling. Clustering algorithms tailored for this task are based on generative models, graph models and matrix factorization techniques. A general problem, common to all these approaches, involves the temporal dimension. In fact, for these approaches is difficult to deal with datasets that evolve over time and in many real world applications documents are streamed continuously Furthermore, this problem can be more severe in case of huge datasets, because of scalability issues With our approach we overcome these problems, in fact, we can classify new objects according to the information on previous clusterings. The problem of clustering new objects is defined as a game, in which we have **labeled** players (clustered objects), which always play the strategy associated to their cluster and unlabeled players which try to learn their strategy according to the strategy that their co-players are choosing. The geometry of the data is modeled as a similarity graph, whose nodes are players (documents), and the games are played only among similar players. In this way new data points can be clustered at different times and their classification adapt to the structure of the whole dataset. The validation of the algorithm has been conducted on 12-folds datasets and as we can see on the table below, the accuracy of the system is constant on each fold.

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.82\pm0.03(0.82\pm0.03(0.82\pm0.02(0.82\pm0.02(0.82\pm0.02(0.82\pm0.02(0.82\pm0.02(0.82\pm0.02(0.82\pm0.02(0.82\pm0.01(0.82\pm0.01(0.84\pm0.010(0.84$ la12 tr41 tr45

maging and Vision 7.4 (1997): 309-323 Sense Disambiguation, Computational Linguistics, MIT Press. (in press) re Matrix Factorization Clustering, ICPR 2016. (under review)

# **SAMSUNG**





# Example Setur

## Conside

- A set of objects  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$
- A set of labels  $\Lambda = \{1, ..., m\}$ The goal is to label each object of *B* with a label of  $\Lambda$
- To this end, two sources of information are exploited:
- rements which capture the salient features of each object viewed in isolation
- Contextual and similarity information, expressed in terms of a payoff matrix of  $R = \{r_{ii}(\lambda, \mu)\}$

# Context-Aware classification as a Non-Cooperative Game

- We shall formulate the context-aware classification problem as a non-cooperative game, where
- Objects to be labeled = players
- Class labels = pure strategies
- Weighted labeling assignments = mixed strategies
- Contextual constraints = payoff function R

The coefficient  $r_{ij}(\lambda,\mu)$  measures the strength of compatibility between the two hypotheses: " $b_i$  is labeled  $\lambda$ " and " $b_j$  is labeled  $\mu$ ", or in other words the payoff that players get when player *i* plays strategy  $\lambda$  and player *j* plays strategy  $\mu$ . According to this formulation, context-aware classification = Nash equilibrium

Pavoff function:  $q_i(\lambda, p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_{ij}(\lambda, \mu) p_j(\mu)$ 

Where p is a probability distribution that denotes, for each player i, the probability that it chooses a determined strategy.

 $p_i(\lambda)^{i+1} = \frac{p_i(\lambda)^i q_i(\lambda)}{\pi}$ 

 $\tilde{\sum} p_i(\mu)^i q_i(\mu)$ 

We propose a method to refine the clustering results obtained with the nonnegative matrix factorization technique,



			Norma	ized Mutual Infor	nation			
Dataset	SymNMF	SymNMF+GT	NMF	NMF+GT	NMFS	NMFS+GT	NNDSVD	NNDSVD+GT
NIPS *	0.385 (±0.011)	0.405 (±0.016)	0.375 (±0.022)	0.386 (±0.011)	0.388 (±0.006)	0.403 (±0.007)	0.388	0.399
NIPS	0.387 (±0.007)	0.418 (±0.017)	0.401 (±0.016)	0.406 (±0.016)	0.393 (±0.008)	0.412 (±0.018)	0.388	0.421
Reuters *	0.502 (±0.014)	0.51 (±0.016)	0.451 (±0.026)	0.49 (±0.02)	0.505 (±0.014)	0.511 (±0.015)	0.427	0.425
Reuters	0.517 (±0.007)	0.525 (±0.006)	0.442 (±0.006)	0.497 (±0.003)	0.518 (±0.006)	0.527 (±0.005)	0.488	0.493
RCV1 *	0.406 (±0.007)	0.422 (±0.007)	0.51 (±0.007)	0.516 (±0.005)	0.404 (±0.009)	0.42 (±0.01)	0.403	0.402
RCV1	$0.411 (\pm 0.006)$	0.422 (±0.006)	0.462 (±0.009)	0.483 (±0.007)	0.413 (±0.006)	0.424 (±0.006)	0.398	0.407
PIE-Expr	0.95 (±0.004)	0.968 (±0.004)	0.939 (±0.008)	0.959 (±0.006)	0.89 (±0.005)	0.931 (±0.006)	0.86	0.889
ORL	0.888 (±0.006)	0.921 (±0.006)	0.691 (±0.015)	0.844 (±0.014)	0.889 (±0.006)	0.918 (±0.004)	0.808	0.892
COIL-20	0.871 (±0.009)	0.875 (±0.012)	0.619 (±0.017)	0.669 (±0.016)	0.877 (±0.013)	0.883 (±0.013)	0.824	0.836
ExtYaleB	0.308 (±0.005)	0.313 (±0.005)	0.356 (±0.006)	0.355(±0.007)	0.309 (±0.007)	0.314 (±0.005)	0.288	0.315
				Accuracy				
Dataset	SymNMF	SymNMF+GT	NMF	NMF+GT	NMFS	NMFS+GT	NNDSVD	NNDSVD+GT
NIPS *	0.462 (±0.013)	0.483 (±0.016)	0.426 (±0.02)	0.425(±0.014)	0.465 (±0.011)	0.485 (±0.017)	0.474	0.509
NIPS	0.379 (±0.01)	0.415 (±0.037)	0.396 (±0.022)	0.39(±0.018)	0.384 (±0.014)	0.407 (±0.031)	0.466	0.503
Reuters *	0.517 (±0.044)	0.528 (±0.043)	0.322 (±0.024)	0.401 (±0.026)	0.516 (±0.037)	0.525 (±0.037)	0.403	0.427
Reuters	0.324 (±0.029)	0.363 (±0.032)	0.222 (±0.011)	0.282 (±0.02)	0.339 (±0.023)	0.378 (±0.024)	0.277	0.339
RCV1 *	0.292 (±0.015)	0.289(±0.014)	0.383 (±0.009)	0.387 (±0.01)	0.298 (±0.017)	0.297(±0.017)	0.285	0.276
RCV1	0.243 (±0.008)	0.247 (±0.008)	0.279 (±0.01)	0.295 (±0.011)	0.242 (±0.01)	0.245 (±0.011)	0.239	0.24
PIE-Expr	0.81 (±0.021)	0.85 (±0.019)	0.783 (±0.023)	0.809 (±0.024)	0.617 (±0.019)	0.7 (±0.02)	0.536	0.513
ORL	0.776 (±0.017)	0.811 (±0.018)	0.465 (±0.019)	0.608 (±0.026)	0.77 (±0.013)	0.804 (±0.015)	0.653	0.71
COIL-20	0.727 (±0.036)	0.729 (±0.037)	0.478 (±0.023)	0.507 (±0.025)	0.739 (±0.046)	0.741 (±0.046)	0.674	0.672
ExtYaleB	0.235 (±0.008)	0.228(±0.007)	0.194 (±0.007)	0.197 (±0.009)	0.237 (±0.012)	$0.23(\pm 0.01)$	0.229	0.242

### The Dynamics of Opinion Diffusion in Social Networks [6]

"That social influences shape every person's practices, judgments and beliefs is a truism to which anyone will readily assent. A child master his native dialect down to the finest nuances; a member of a tribe accepts cannibalism as altogether fitting and proper. All the social sciences take their departure from the observation of the profound effects that groups exert on their members." (Solomon E. Asch, Opinions and Social Pressure, 1955)

The exponential growth in the popularity of the online social networks such as Facebook, Twitter has led to a lot of renewed research in understanding basic sociological phenomena such as opinion and consensus formation. Psychologists discovered that people tend to adapt their opinions to their social environment trying to minimize the divergence among their opinions and the one of their friends.

How the opinion on a particular topic can influence the opinions of other people in a social network? We modeled:

- The players of the games as the users on the network
- The strategies of the games as opinions
- The payoff of the games as opinion similarity
- The interactions among the players as a weighted similarity graph



This model will be tested on real world datasets to test its prediction power. The datasets will be constructed using the reviews posted by users on Amazon, Reddit and Tripadvisor. In this way it is possible to analyze the reviews and to measure the influence that positive and negative reviews have on the diffusion of the opinions, about a particular product, on the underling social network

illo M, Document Clustering Games, In Proceedings of ICPRAM 2016, Rome, 2016. Tripodi. illo M, Evolutionary Aspects of Network and Opinions, presented at D2NetLang, Lyon, 2016 ted by Samsung Global Research Outreach Program

System dynamics:

In this way better than average strategies grow at each iteration

vare Nonnegative Matrix Factorization Clustering [4] imposing consistency constraints on the final labeling of the data.